Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/085,853	MEROT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert A. Wax	1653
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Robert A. Wax.	(3)	
(2) <u>Kathy Kowalchyk</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 March 2004	Time: <u>11:55 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Art rejections over Dieryck et al.		
Claims discussed: 43-52		
Prior art documents discussed: Dieryck et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
1		
athir		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	t/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner pointed out that applicants are entitled to the French priority date and, therefore, Dieryck et al. is not available as prior art. A translation of the French priority document will be filed to make sure that the claim for priority is perfected. Once that is done the rejections over Dieryck et al. will be withdrawn.